

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/521,785	WALLIS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ROBERT J. HICKS	3781	

All Participants:

Status of Application: *Non-Final Rejection*

(1) ROBERT J. HICKS.

(3) _____.

(2) VINCENT RAMIK.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 October 2008

Time: 12:35 PM

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-10

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Robert J Hicks/
Examiner, Art Unit 3781

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner spoke with Attorney Ramik regarding a restriction of claims between the product (Claims 1-5, 10) and the method of method of making the product (Claims 6-9). In a voicemail message on October 13th, Attorney Ramik elected the product claims without traverse..